

Academic Program Review: Review Summary

ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW REVIEW SUMMARY FOR DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

(1) REVIEW DETAILS

Unit Reviewed:	Department of English
Programs Reviewed:	Bachelor of Arts Honours – English
	Bachelor of Arts Double Honours – English
	Bachelor of Arts Four-year – English
	Bachelor of Arts Three-year – English
	Master of Arts – English (Project-based)
	Master of Arts – English (Thesis-based)
	Doctor of Philosophy – English
Review Team Members:	Cecily Devereux, Professor (External Reviewer)
	University of Alberta (Faculty of Arts)
	Stephen Yeager, Professor (External Reviewer)
	Concordia University (Faculty of Arts and Science)
	Carla Orosz, Associate Professor (Internal Reviewer)
	University of Saskatchewan (College of Arts and Science)
Date and Type of Review:	February 27-28, 2025 (On Site Review)

(2) STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION

The Review Team were provided with a facilities tour and also consulted with many stakeholders as part of the review, including having in-person meetings (or virtual meetings prior to the visit) with the following personnel:

- Faculty
- Sessional Lecturers
- Undergraduate students
- Graduate students
- Administrative support staff
- Librarian
- Department Head
- College/Department leadership (Arts and Science, Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies)

Review Summary Page | 1



Academic Program Review: Review Summary

(3) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The Review Team's External Review Report included a number of findings and observations for consideration. Recommendations were primarily in the areas of (sorted alphabetically):

- Academic and/or administrative policies and/or processes
- Alignment of course offerings with academic program goals
- Collection and/or access to better data and/or information to support enhanced planning and decision-making
- Community engagement
- Curriculum design and review
- Faculty complement size and/or areas of focus
- Faculty workload and assignment of duties
- Indigenization
- Strategic planning, reviews, and/or retreats

(4) IMPLEMENTATION OF ENHANCEMENTS, MONITORING AND DATE OF NEXT REVIEW

The Department Head and Dean (Arts and Science) are responsible for building an action plan and the implementation of any recommendations in the External Review Report which were supported and/or agreed with in terms of the response from the academic unit. The Department Head is expected to provide a progress update to the Office of the Provost and VP Academic within 2 years of the completion of the review, which in this instance, is May 2027.

The next review of the department and its programs will be initiated no later than the 2034/35 academic year.

(5) DISTRIBUTION

Updates on the status of this review, including implementation progress in the years following review completion, will be provided to Academic Programs Committee.

Previously, the Self-Study Report, External Review Report, and the Academic Unit Response, have all been shared with the following stakeholders: College/Department leaders, Dean of College of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies, Teaching, Learning and Student Experience, and the Deputy Provost.

Inquiries into further details about the process and outcomes of this review can be submitted to the <u>Assessment and Analytics Team</u> within the Office of the Provost and Vice-President Academic.

Review Summary P a g e | 2