### **Academic Program Review: Review Summary**

# ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW REVIEW SUMMARY FOR DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY

## (1) REVIEW DETAILS

Unit Reviewed:	Department of History
Programs Reviewed:	Bachelor of Arts Three-Year – History
	Bachelor of Arts Four-Year — History
	Bachelor of Arts Honours – History
	Bachelor of Arts Double Honours – History
	Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science – Minor in History
	Master of Arts – History
	Doctor of Philosophy - History
Review Team Members:	Boyd Cothran, Professor (External Reviewer)
	York University (Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies)
	Jane Errington, Professor (External Reviewer)
	Queen's University (Faculty of Arts and Science)
	Sarah Hoffman, Associate Professor (Internal Reviewer)
	University of Saskatchewan (College of Arts and Science)
Date and Type of Review:	January 30-31, 2025 (On Site Review)

## (2) STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION

The Review Team were provided with a facilities tour and also consulted with many stakeholders as part of the review, including having in-person meetings (or virtual meetings prior to the visit) with the following personnel:

- Faculty (including from St. Thomas More College)
- Undergraduate students
- Graduate students
- Librarian
- Department Head
- College leadership (Arts and Science, Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies)
- Deputy Provost

#### (3) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The Review Team's External Review Report included a number of findings and observations for consideration. Recommendations were primarily in the areas of (sorted alphabetically):

Review Summary  $Page \mid 1$ 



#### **Academic Program Review: Review Summary**

- Academic policies and/or processes
- Course delivery, including standardization and/or options
- Facilities design, improvement and/or utilization
- Faculty complement size and/or areas of focus
- International study experiences
- Program review, optimization and/or reconfiguration
- Strategic planning, reviews, and retreats

#### (4) IMPLEMENTATION OF ENHANCEMENTS, MONITORING AND DATE OF NEXT REVIEW

The Department Head and Dean (Arts and Science) are responsible for building an action plan and the implementation of any recommendations in the External Review Report which were supported and/or agreed with in terms of the response from the academic unit. The Department Head is expected to provide a progress update to the Office of the Provost and VP Academic within 2 years of the completion of the review, which in this instance, is March 2027.

The next review of the department and its programs will be initiated no later than the 2034/35 academic year.

#### (5) DISTRIBUTION

Updates on the status of this review, including implementation progress in the years following review completion, will be provided to Academic Programs Committee.

Previously, the Self-Study Report, External Review Report, and the Academic Unit Response, have all been shared with the following stakeholders: College/Department leaders, Dean of College of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies, Teaching, Learning and Student Experience, and the Deputy Provost.

Inquiries into further details about the process and outcomes of this review can be submitted to the Assessment and Analytics Team within the Office of the Provost and Vice-President Academic.

Review Summary P a g e | 2